

| | | |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 30/30 | Excellent | Careful reading of and reflection on the assigned readings. The work must be factually accurate, clearly written, and offer an original and persuasive argument. No mistakes of spelling, grammar and style. |
| 29/30 | Very good | |
| 28/30 | Very good with minor deficiencies | |
| 27/30 | Good | Sound understanding of the assigned readings, factually accurate, generally well written – with only a modest number of grammatical errors – and persuasively argued. |
| 26/30 | Good with minor deficiencies | |
| 25/30 | Good with problems or lack/sufficient clarity on particular issues | |
| 24/30 | Fair with some good hints or good contributions | |
| 23/30 | Fair | Good knowledge with some deficiencies, competent, if superficial reading of and reflection on the assigned readings, and/or is readable but lacks in clarity and/or contains numerous spelling, grammar, style errors, and/or makes a weak argument. |
| 22/30 | Fair with minor deficiencies | |
| 21/30 | More than sufficient with some good ideas or contributions | |
| 20/30 | More than sufficient with some fair parts or contributions | |
| 19/30 | Sufficient | Sufficient knowledge of the topic, with imprecise answers or presentation or with deficiencies. Minimal effort put into reading of assigned materials and/or writing of the assignment. |
| 18/30 | Sufficient with minor deficiencies | |
| 17/30 | insufficient with some potentially interesting but undeveloped ideas. Exam failed. | |
| 16/30 | Insufficient with grossly drafted potentiality | |
| 15/30 | Insufficient | Insufficient knowledge with major deficiencies and errors. Fails completely to meet any required criteria, is egregiously late and unexcused, or violates any rule of conduct of the Ph.D. Programme. |