

New Information Subjects in a partial pro-drop language: an experimental study on Finnish L1 and L2.

Finnish has been recently classified as a partial null subject language (PNSL henceforth) (Holmberg et al. 2009, Holmberg & Sheehan 2010). Finnish allows 1st and 2nd person null subjects but it does not allow 3rd person null subjects, except in some embedded clauses when the null subject is ‘controlled’ by a higher argument and with weather verbs. The present study aims at enriching the ongoing discussion on the properties of partial null subject languages presenting and discussing experimental data on the production of new information subject in Finnish L1 and Finnish L2. As emerges from the discussion on answering strategies carried out in Belletti (2001, 2004), Belletti, Bennati & Sorace 2007, the traditional classification in null subject language (NSL) and non null subject languages (NNSL) is reflected at the syntax-discourse interface by the word orders and structures adopted in contexts in which the subject is interpreted as new information. NSLs such as Italian typically adopt a Verb-Subject order (*free inversion*) (Chi è arrivato? – È arrivato Gianni) whereas NNSLs resort to other possibilities, such as cleft strategies in French (Qui est arrivé? – C’est Jean) or *in situ* focalization, resulting in the Subject-Verb order, in English (Who came? – John came). As thoroughly discussed in the literature, there is a relation between the possibility to instantiate free inversion, with the subject interpreted as new information, and the null subject nature of the language (Rizzi 1982, Burzio 1986, Hulk & Pollock 2001, Kayne 2005, Sheehan 2010 a.o.). Successively, it has been postulated that in NSLs a lower vP-peripheral subject position is activated in free inversion structures when the subject is interpreted as new information (Belletti 2001, 2004) with a small *pro* that satisfies the EPP property of the relevant high subject position of the clause. In the light of these findings the present study shows experimental results on Finnish, a PNSL, and discusses which answering strategies are exploited under similar discourse conditions. In addition, the question of whether Finnish makes use of the vP-internal focus position dedicated to new information subjects is addressed. The discussion is further extended to Finnish L2 data by speakers whose L1 is a NSL (Italian) and to the related acquisitional issue. Data was collected through a video test that was administered to 15 adult native speakers of Finnish and to 10 adult L2 speakers of Finnish (L1 Italian). The experimental task (first created by Belletti & Leonini 2004 and used by Belletti, Bennati & Sorace 2007 for Italian, successively adapted in Guesser 2007 for Brazilian Portuguese, Kras 2010 for Croatian, Dal Pozzo 2011 for Finnish) consists of 22 short videos and aims at creating the ideal discourse-pragmatic conditions for question-answer pairs in which the subject is new information focus. The task was implemented through a Power Point presentation run on a laptop and each participant was tested individually. The main results for the L1 group show that in Finnish new information subjects can be generally interpreted as such in preverbal position (82% for transitive verbs, 88% for unaccusative verbs, 84,9% for unergative verbs) and no free inversion of the type observed in NSLs is observed. However, also other answering strategies emerge (and are in fact grammatically and pragmatically correct structures in such discourse contexts), such as XPVS and clefts. In particular, the possible activation of the vP peripheral new information focus position will be discussed in the light of the XPVS word order. It will be proposed that the Finnish XPVS order instantiates a different way to satisfy the EPP property of the high subject position of the clause, different from the one characteristically exploited in a NSL. As for the L2 group (Graph 2) the results show that L2ers almost never adopt strategies different from the canonical SV(O) order (97% for transitive verbs, 100% for unaccusative verbs, 94,3% for unergative verbs). It will be discussed whether this is due to acquisitional

processes or whether it might be the case that Finnish is acquired (at least at this stage) as a NNSL.

References

- Belletti, Adriana 2001. Inversion as focalization. In A. Hulk and J.Y. Pollock (eds.) *Subject Inversion in Romance and the theory of Universal Grammar*, 60-90. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Belletti, Adriana 2004. Aspects of the low IP area. In L. Rizzi (ed.), *The structure of CP and IP. The cartography of syntactic structures*, Vol. 2:16–51. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Belletti, Adriana, Bennati, Elisa & Sorace, Antonella. 2007. Theoretical and developmental issues in the syntax of subjects: Evidence from near-native Italian. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 25:657-689.
- Biberauer, Theresa 2010. Semi-null subject languages, expletives and expletive *pro* reconsidered. In T. Biberauer, A. Holmberg, I. Roberts, M. Sheehan (eds.), *Parametric Variation: Null Subjects in Minimalist Theory*, 153-199. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Burzio, Luigi 1986. *Italian Syntax. A Government-Binding Approach*. Dordrecht: Reidel
- Dal Pozzo, Lena 2011. *Testing the acquisition of new information subjects: evidence from Italian and Finnish*. PhD dissertation. University of Siena.
- Dal Pozzo, Lena 2012. New Information Subjects in Finnish: an Experimental Study. *Finno-Ugric Languages and Linguistics* Vol. 1. No. 1–2, 67–81.
- Dal Pozzo, Lena & Guesser, Simone 2011. A comparative view on answering strategies and new information subjects in Brazilian Portuguese and Finnish. *Stil Studies in Linguistics* 4:83-107. MIT Press.
- Guesser, Simone 2007. Il soggetto nullo incassato in Portoghese Brasiliano: problemi legati al movimento a posizioni tematiche. *Rivista di Grammatica Generativa*: 39-64.
- Holmberg, Anders 2005. Is there a little *pro*? Evidence from Finnish. *Linguistic Inquiry* 36:4, 533-564.
- Holmberg, Anders 2010. Null Subject Parameters. In T. Biberauer, A. Holmberg, I. Roberts, M. Sheehan (eds.) *Parametric Variation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Holmberg, Anders & Sheehan, Michelle 2010. Control into finite clauses in partial null subject languages. In T. Biberauer, A. Holmberg, I. Roberts, M. Sheehan (eds.) *Parametric Variation: Null Subjects in Minimalist Theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Holmberg, Anders, Nayudu, Aarti, & Sheehan, Michelle 2009. Three partial null-subject languages: a comparison of Brazilian Portuguese, Finnish and Marathi. *Studia Linguistica* 63:1. Wiley-Blackwell Publishing.
- Hulk, Aafke C. & Jean-Yves Pollock 2001. Subject positions in Romance and the theory of Universal Grammar. In A. Hulk and J-Y. Pollock (eds.) *Subject inversion in Romance and the theory of Universal Grammar*, 107-162. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kayne, Richard 2005. *Movement and silence*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Rizzi, Luigi 1982. *Issues in Italian Syntax*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Sheehan, Michelle 2010. Free inversion in Romance and the Null Subject Parameter. In T. Biberauer, A. Holmberg, I. Roberts & M. Sheehan (eds.) *Parametric Variation: Null Subjects in Minimalist Theory*, 231-262. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.